

Development of Miniature Sizers for Ultrafine Particle Measurements



Floyd D. Gottwald, Sr. Chair and Professor Particle Lab., Department of Mechanical & Nuclear Engineering Virginia Commonwealth University 401 West Main Street, Richmond, VA, 23284, USA

Chang jiang Chaired Professor (长江学者), School of Environment Tsinghua University, China;

Outline

Introduction and Overview

Overlaps of the set o

♦ Integration of miniature electrical particle sizers

Order Conclusion

Introduction – UFP in Ambient Air



- Ultrafine Particles (UFPs), Dp < 100 nm
- **Source:** natural sources (e.g. gas-to-particle conversion, forest fires, viruses, etc.) combustion engine, metal fumes (e.g. smelting, welding), vehicle exhaust, nanoparticle industry, etc.



Agency, Washington, DC, EPA 600/P-99/002aF-bF; 2004.

100

Introduction – UFP Health Effect



http://www.tesa-clean-air.com/eng/fine_dust_particles

- Nanomaterial (10 to 50 nm) could easily enter the human body and deposit in the **alveolar region** of a human lung, even entering in the blood stream and being transported to vital organs (Kreyling et al., 2002; Takenaka et al., 2001; Oberdörster et al., 2004; Paur et al., 2011).
- UFPs are particularly relevant to pulmonary diseases, cancer and mortality because of their higher diffusion coefficient and greater accumulation ability (Hoek et al, 2002; Oberdörster et al, 2005; Bräuner et al, 2007; Stewart et al, 2010).



From Geiser and Kreyling, Particle Fibre Toxicol, 2010, 7:2

The increased asthma prevalence has been found to often occur in the area with high UFP levels in ambient air or high motor vehicle traffic density and residence community in close proximity to freeways (Samet et al, 2000; Holguin, 2008; Salam et al, 2008; Patel and Miller, 2009).

Review of UFP Measurement (1)



• The technique based on **particle electric mobility** has been applied in aerosol community to characterize size distribution of ultrafine particles



Review of UFP Measurement (2)

• Existed instruments to characterize UFPs are mainly designed for scientific studies in the laboratories and are often expensive in price, bulky in package and heavy in weight.







Review of UFP Measurement (3)

• Portable Aerosol Sizers developed based on particle electric mobility

		T
Instrument	Dimensions / Weight	Price
TSI Nanoscan 3910	45 cm x 23 cm x 39 cm < 8kg without batteries; < 9kg with 2 batteries	\$~30K
Kanomax portable aerosol mobility spectrometer (PAMS)	23 cm x 23 cm x 15 cm 4.5 kg	
Naneum Nano-ID PMC500	30 cm x 33 cm x 26 cm 6.25 kg	Nano-12
Grimm Mini wide range aerosol spectrometer (WARS)	34 cm x 31 cm x 12 cm 7.6 kg	\$30-40K

- Large in size, heavy and high cost
- Single-alone operation
- Not easy to be networked (a much desired feature for modern UFP monitoring.)

A more compact and costeffective UPF sizers and wireless mesh network using eUFP sizers as the nodes are needed in the modern UFP monitoring (i.e., spatio-temporal monitoring).

Potential Applications for Miniature Ultrafine/Fine Particle Sizers

- Air pollution and quality study
- Vertical profiling of ultrafine particles
- Indoor air quality monitor
- Early fire detection (office and hospital buildings)
- Industrial hygiene and worker protection
- Epidemiology study
- Identification of particulate emission sources
- Nano-manufacture process control (nanopowders, pharmaceutical products..)
- Pharmaceutical R&D
- and more.....

Motivation of Our Development of mini- Sizers

• To enable the spatio-temporal distribution measurement and personal exposure monitoring

→ miniature aerosol sensor/sizer:

- (1) cost-effective;
- (2) light in weight;
- (3) small in package;
- (4) easy in use;
- (5) having the feature of networking



A miniature electrical ultrafine particle sizer

- Aerosol charger
 - Unipolar/bipolar particle chargers
- Electrical mobility classifier
 - Precipitator/EAA/DMA
- Particle concentration detector
 - Faraday cage with pre-amp or CPC
- Data inversion scheme

Miniature Unipolar Aerosol Charger





Extrinsic Charging Efficiency of mini- Charger



- Mini-charger, with a simple and very compact design, is capable of providing much better charging efficiency than bipolar charging
- In 1.5 lpm flowrate, its charging efficiency can even be compared with Buscher's charger with a much more complicated design

Mini-plate Particle Charger: Design



Overall size: 2.2" (5.59 cm) × 1.25" (3.18 cm) × 0.69" (1.75 cm) (L × W × H)

- Charging zone spacing: 0.125" (0.32 cm)
- $\circ~$ Tungsten wires of 50 μm in diameter

Schematic diagram of prototype DC-corona-based mini-plate charger

Mini-plate Particle Charger: Experimental Evaluation

Test Aerosol: NaCl, 10 – 200 nm

Key aspects of charger performance:
(1) intrinsic/extrinsic charging efficiency;
(2) charge distribution on aerosol

Charger performance depends on:
✓ Charger configuration
✓ Aerosol flowrate
✓ Corona current
✓ Particle size



Mini-plate Particle Charger: Optimization of charger configuration

40 nm NaCl nanoparticle
 0.3 lpm aerosol flowrate





Miniature Disk-type Electrostatic Precipitator (Aerosol Classifier in the zeroth order)







Mini-disk Electrical Aerosol Classifier (mini-disk EAC) (Aerosol Classifier in the 1st order)





Mini-plate Differential Mobility Analyzer (mini-plate DMA) (Aerosol Classifier in the 2nd Order)



Mini-plate DMAs v1 v2 v3 Image: Constrained by the second seco

	Classification Zone				Overall Size		
	Length	Width	Height	Aerosol siit opening	Length	Width	Height
V1	1 39/64" (40.88)	1" (25.4)	(2.06)	$1/2" \times 1/32"$ (12.7 × 0.79)	3 7/32" (81.76)	1 3/4" (44.45)	13/16" (20.64)
V2	1 13/32" (35.72)	1" (25.4)	1/8" (3.18)	$1/2" \times 3/32"$ (12.7 × 2.38)	3 7/8" (98.43)	1 3/4" (44.45)	7/8" (22.23)
V3	2 1/16" (52.39)	1 1/2 " (3.81)	(2.11)	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \ 1/8'' \times 1/32'' \\ (28.58 \times 0.79) \end{array}$	4 7/8" (123.83)	2 7/16" (61.91)	21/32" (16.67)

Key dimensions in mini-plate EAA/DMAs, units: in (mm)

Mini-plate DMA: Sizing accuracy (1)



Mini-plate DMA: Sizing accuracy (2)

 It is further found that the voltage needed for classifying particles with a given electrical mobility varied when varying the aerosol and sheath flowrates.

$$Z_{p,c} = \frac{\eta \, Q_{sh} \, h}{L \, W \, V}$$

• The relationship between η and β is linear.



Mini-plate DMA: Sizing accuracy (3)



Mini- Faraday Cage with pre-amp



where, *I* is the current measured by electrometer; *ne* is the charge carried by particles; Q_a is aerosol flow rate and *N* is the particle number concentrations.

Prototype Portable Fine Particle Sizer (portable FPS; Funded by NASA)







Particle Lab, VCU

Aerosol flowrate: 0.7 lpm

Miniature Electrical Ultrafine Particle Sizer (mini- eUPS) (funded by US EPA)



Prototype mini- eUPS



- Final package: 7.5" (L) × 5.5" (W) × 4.5" (H)
- Weight: < 3.5 lb



Performance of Prototype mini eUPS



Conclusion Remarks

- Electrical mobility based particle analyzers are powerful tools for the characterization of particles in fine and ultrafine size ranges
- Miniaturization of electrical mobility particle sizers have been realized for measuring the spatial and temporal size distribution of fine and ultrafine particles
- Portable FPS (with CPC) and mini- eUPS (with aerosol electrometer) have been assembled and evaluated using the lab-generated particles.

Thank you for your attention

Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful for the financial support provided by NASA Glen Research Center and STAR program, US EPA (Grant # 83513201).

COI statement

Chen, one of the authors, holds the licensed IP, which is similar in name, but unrelated in configuration, to this project.

